#### THEORETICAL ARTICLE



# Effect of memory on an inventory model for deteriorating item: fractional calculus approach

Dipak Kumar Jana<sup>1</sup> · Asim Kumar Das<sup>2</sup> · Sahidul Islam<sup>3</sup>

Accepted: 16 April 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Operational Research Society of India 2024

## Abstract

It is incontrovertible that involvement of memory has a great impact in inventory model. For any company, long past experience as well as short past experience have similar significant importance to manage the profit. Our proposed inventory model is leaded by two important factors: constant demand and deterioration. Here, we have introduced memory effect through the feasible ideas of fractional calculus. Also, we consider the order of fractional derivative as memory index. We calculate various type of costs viz total holding cost, purchasing cost, deterioration cost, shortage cost, salvage value. Additionally, optimal ordering interval, optimal starting shortage time and minimized total average cost are computed theoretically using the fractional calculus techniques. Effect of memory is justified by choosing a suitable numerical example. Finally sensitivity analysis for the model has been presented.

**Keywords** Fractional differential equation  $\cdot$  Fractional laplace transform  $\cdot$  Mittg-Leffler function  $\cdot$  Memory  $\cdot$  Salvage value

Mathematics Subject Classification 90B50 · 90C31 · 34A08 · 26A33

Asim Kumar Das asd.math@gmail.com

> Dipak Kumar Jana djana.dipak@gmail.com

Sahidul Islam sahidulmath18@klyuniv.ac.in

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Ramkrishna Mahato Govt. Engg. College, Purulia, West Bengal 723103, India
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Government General Degree College, Chapra, Nadia, West Bengal 741123, India
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani, West Bengal 741235, India

## 1 Introduction

Fractional Calculus (FC), generalization of traditional classical differentiation, integration and differential equation [17], is a most emerging tool for the recent research in the field of mathematics. Due to the insufficiency of logical interpretation of fractional-order differential equations, the FC had been employed extremely infrequently until a few days ago. Now a days, FC is successfully used to address challenging issues in science and technology due to its enormous knowledge expansion. Although, the formulation of basic ideas and their physical interpretations are constantly being modified. FC can interpret physical occurrence in its generalized form quite effectively. According to [8, 14, 17], Fractional Differential Equation (FDE) has some of the most useful features and aspects for solving many complex problems found in everything viz applied sciences and real-world problems. Keeping past effects of past events is the most significant physical acceptability of FC. In order to describe physical problems naturally and realistically, the effects of past events cannot be overlooked while defining the current situation. In biology and economics, FC has been utilized as a perfect tool for understanding mathematically the effect of memory measured by memory index defined by the fractional order derivatives [28-32]. It has been established as the most powerful mathematical method to understand our true nature. Thereby, numerous researchers are working in the attraction of FC for last three decades.

The literature review on inventory management began decades ago. The study of inventory management has recently emerged as one of the primary subfields of operation research. Demand pattern is important in the inventory model and is thoroughly researched by various researchers. Jana and Das [13] have discussed an inventory model where the demand depends on stock and deterioration occurred. Mishra and Saha [18] reported the inventory model with time dependent demand. Dye et al. [9] worked on the inventory model where the demand is time-varying and partial backlogging is shortage-dependent. Also, [11, 12] considered time varying demand in his inventory models. There is no doubt that deterioration [6] has a substantial impact on the whole inventory system. Deterioration happens in various ways, such as melting, damage, decay, etc. The inventory systems are developed in the consideration of constant deterioration with the demand, dependent on time [10, 16], dependent on stock [13, 33], dependent on price [26], etc. Singh and Pattnayak [27] introduced the variable deterioration in inventory model. Researchers (like [16, 22]) have examined the effects of item shortage. Partial backlogging is another important component in an inventory system and is reported by [1, 2], and [27] with a variety of intriguing outcomes.

Demand from buyers is influenced by seller behaviour, delivery time, product quality, and pricing. The purchase of a product by a customer relies upon the product's past history. The customers do not intend to buy the product with poor feedbacks. So, in a business policy, memory i.e. past experience has a tremendous impact and must be included in the development of strategic quality business policy. In contrast to our standard differential equation of integer order, the most significant benefit of FDE is that it can be used as a perfect tool to more accurately characterize the dynamical behavior of any system with the implicit incorporation of the idea of memory. Das and Roy [3-5], Das et al. [7] have reported the potential use of FC in a variety of inventory models and established several hypotheses. Pakhira et al. [19-21] attempted to apply the memory idea to an inventory system with the help of FC. Jana and Das [13] imposed memory in an inventory model with stock dependent demand. They have reported that their modified inventory model can be treated as an effective and efficient real world realistic optimization problem. Very recently, Rahaman et al. [25] have studied an inventory management problem where they have reported that how a retailer's decision is influenced by the memory. In another recent paper, Kumar et al. [15] proposed the influential role of memory effect of promotional efforts in an inventory system through the study of FC with time-dependent demand. Thereby, we are motivating to study the effect of memory on an inventory model for deteriorating item with fractional calculus approach. Shortage is natural phenomena in an inventory system and it plays a significant role in the optimization of profit. It is not yet been investigated in the presence of memory effect how starting shortage time effects on the minimized total average cost in an inventory system. It has inspired us to look into the starting shortage time in an inventory system.

Our goal is to improve the performance of our current inventory models by including the effect of memory or prior experience. Here the memory effect is considered on an inventory model with constant demand and deterioration. Shortages occurs after some time period. Our objective is that to find out the optimum ordering interval and optimum starting shortage time for which the total average cost is minimum when an inventory system is subjected to memory effects via a differential equation of fractional order. To incorporate memory effect, we use FC. Here we consider fractional order derivative as memory index. Fractional order derivative, integration and FDE, provided by Podlubny [23] and Miller and Ross [17], are used to calculate theoretically total holding cost, purchasing cost, deterioration cost, shortage cost, salvage value, optimal ordering interval, optimal starting shortage time and minimized total average cost. Mainly we want to explore in the presence of memory effect how the starting shortage time effects on minimized total average cost.

The article then goes on as follows:

Some mathematical preliminaries and definitions is presented in Sect. 2. Long memory effect and short memory effect are discussed here. Section 3 presents the creation of the classical inventory model with "notation" and "assumption". The generalized inventory model for deteriorating item with fractional order is introduced herein Sect. 4. The solutions and analysis of the formulated inventory model with fractional order are presented in the preceding. Also in this section various relevant costs are calculated. Section 5 discusses the numerical illustration of the suggested model, and Sect. 6 is concluded with a conclusion.

# 2 Some mathematical preliminaries and definitions

Fractional derivative has numerous definitions. They each have their own unique physical interpretation [24]. We will introduce the Riemann–Liouville definition and the Caputo definition of fractional derivative in this section. Moreover, the fractional Laplace transform method is also introduced to develop the article. These are briefly described in the following.

## 2.1 Riemann–Liouville (R–L) fractional derivative

The definition of Riemann–Liouville (R–L) fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  (where  $m \le \alpha < m + 1$ ) for a integrable function f(x) defined on [a, b] is denoted by  ${}_{a}^{RL}D_{x}^{\alpha}(f(x))$  and is defined by

$${}_{a}^{RL}D_{x}^{\alpha}(f(x)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m+1-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{m+1} \int_{a}^{x} (x-\xi)^{m-\alpha} f(\xi) d\xi.$$

The above definition is known as the left R–L  $\alpha$ th order fractional derivative and the definition of right R–L  $\alpha$ th order fractional derivative is as follow,

$${}^{RL}_{x}D^{\alpha}_{b}(f(x)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m+1-\alpha)} \left(-\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{m+1} \int_{x}^{b} (x-\xi)^{m-\alpha} f(\xi) d\xi.$$

The aforementioned finding establishes a distinction between fractional derivatives and ordinary derivatives. For any differentiable functions, M Caputo offered a new concept of fractional order derivative to eliminate this discrepancy.

## 2.2 Caputo type fractional order derivative

The definition of Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  (where  $m \le \alpha < m + 1$ ) for a integrable function f(x) defined on [a, b] is denoted by  ${}_{a}^{C}D_{x}^{\alpha}(f(x))$  and is defined by

$${}^C_a D^\alpha_x(f(x)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m+1-\alpha)} \int_a^x (x-\xi)^{m-\alpha} f^{(m+1)}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Only differentiable functions are suitable to the Caputo type fractional derivative. However, the fractional derivative for a constant function is zero according to Caputo's definition. Additionally, the initial as well as boundary conditions must be identical to those of a classical i.e, integer order differential equation in order to solve Caputo type fractional order differential equations.

#### 2.3 Fractional Laplace Transform (FLT) method

In differential equations of integer and fractional order, the Laplace Transform(LT) is crucial. F(s) (where s > 0) denotes the LT for the function f(t) and F(s) is defined by

$$F(s) = L(f(t)) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) dt.$$

Also,  $L(f^r(t))$  denotes the LT for the function  $f^r(t)$  and is defined by

$$L(f^{r}(t)) = s^{r}F(s) - \sum_{p=0}^{r-1} s^{r-p-1}f^{p}(0),$$

where the r-th order integer derivative of f(t) is  $f^r(t)$ . For the  $\alpha$ th order fractional derivative of f(t), the FLT is denoted by  $L(f^{\alpha}(t))$  and is defined by

$$L(f^{\alpha}(t)) = s^{\alpha}F(s) - \sum_{p=0}^{r-1} s^{p}f^{\alpha-p-1}(0),$$

where  $r - 1 < \alpha \leq r$ .

#### 2.4 Mittag-Leffler function

In the theory of differential equations of integer order, the exponential function,  $e^z$ , is crucial. Its single parameter generalization, the function is indicated by  $E_{\alpha}(z)$  and is defined by

$$E_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)}$$

Also, a two-parameter Mittag-Leffler type function is defined as follows,

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}.$$

#### 2.5 Long memory effect

The memory strength is controlled by fractional order derivative. If the order of the fractional derivative lies in (0, 0.5). Then the system is called of long memory effected.

## 2.6 Short memory effect

If the order of fractional derivative lies in [0.5, 1). Then the system is called of short memory effected.

# 3 Classical inventory model

On the basis of the assumptions given below, we prepare the classical inventory model (Fig. 1).

## 3.1 Notations

To develop the proposed generalized model, the following notations are being made:

- (i) a: Constant demand rate per unit time during the cycle.
- (ii) q(t): Inventory level at time t.
- (iii)  $C_1$ : Constant inventory holding cost per unit quantity per unit time.
- (iv)  $C_2$ : Constant purchasing cost of per unit item per unit time.
- (v)  $C_3$ : Constant deteriorating cost of per unit item per unit time.
- (vi)  $C_4$ : Per unit shortage cost per unit item per unit time.
- (vii)  $C_{\gamma}$ : Salvage value parameter per unit, associated with deteriorated units during the cycle time.
- (viii)  $\theta$ : Constant deterioration rate, units/unit time, where  $0 < \theta << 1$ .
  - (ix) A: Constant ordering cost
  - (x)  $t_1$ : Time at which shortage start ( $t_1 > 0$ ).
- (xi) *T*: Length of each ordering cycle.
- (xii) W: Initial inventory level for each ordering cycle.
- (xiii) S: Maximum amount of demand backlogged for each ordering cycle.
- (xiv) Q: Economic order quantity for each ordering cycle.
- (xv)  $HC_{\alpha}(T, t_1)$ : Total Holding cost per cycle.



Fig. 1 Graphical representation of the inventory model

- (xvi)  $PC_{\alpha}(T, t_1)$ : Total Purchasing cost per cycle.
- (xvii)  $DC_{\alpha}(T, t_1)$ : Total Deteriorating cost per cycle.
- (xviii)  $SC_{\alpha}(T, t_1)$ : Total Shortage cost per cycle.
- (xix)  $SV_{\alpha}(T, t_1)$ : Total Salvage value of deteriorated item.
- (xx)  $TC_{\alpha}(T, t_1)$ : Total Inventory cost per cycle.
- (xxi)  $TAC_{\alpha}(T, t_1)$ : Total average Inventory cost per unit time per cycle.
- (xxii)  $T^*$ : Optimal value of T.
- (xxiii)  $TAC_{\alpha}^{*}$ : Minimized total average cost.
- (xxiv)  $\Gamma(.)$ : Gamma Function.
- (xxv)  $E_{\alpha}(z)$ : Mittag-Leffler Function with one parameter.

## 3.2 Assumptions

To develop the proposed generalized model, the assumptions which are being made is given below:

- 1. A single item is considered over the fixed period T which is subject to a constant deterioration rate.
- 2. There is no replenishment or repair of deteriorated items takes place in a given cycle.
- 3. The consumption rate or demand rate at any time t is constant.
- 4. The planning horizon is infinite. Only a typical planning schedule of length is considered and all the remaining cycles are identical.
- 5. The replenishment occurs instantaneously at an infinite rate and lead time is zero.
- 6. The holding cost per unit, purchasing cost per unit, shortage cost per unit, deteriorating cost per unit, salvage value per unit item are constant.
- 7. The ordering cost is constant.
- 8. Shortages are allowed during stock out period and are completely backordered.

# 4 Generalized inventory model

We consider the fractional order generalized inventory model with constant demand. Replenishment occurs at time t = 0 when the inventory level attains its maximum, W. From t = 0 to  $t_1$ , the inventory level reduces due to demand and deterioration. At time  $t_1$ , the inventory level achieves zero, then shortage is allowed to occur during the time interval  $[t_1, T]$ , and all of the demand during shortage period  $[t_1, T]$  partially backlogged. As the inventory level reduces due to demand rate as well as deterioration during the inventory interval  $[t_1, T]$ . Therefore, the behavior of this inventory system at any time t can be represented by the following picture:

Based on the above description, during the time interval [0, T] the differential equation representing the proposed inventory status is given by

$$\frac{d(q(t))}{dt} + \theta q(t) = -a \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le t \le t_1, \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{d(q(t))}{dt} = -a \quad \text{for} \quad t_1 \le t \le T, \tag{2}$$

with q(0) = W,  $q(t_1) = 0$ , q(T) = -S.

Equations (1), (2) can be written in terms of Caputo fractional derivative sense as

$${}^{C}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{t}q(t) + \theta q(t) = -a \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le t \le t_{1},$$
(3)

$${}_{a}^{C}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t) = -a \quad \text{for} \quad t_{1} \le t \le T.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Solving the Eq. (3) with the initial condition q(0) = W and using the Laplace transform method for solving fractional differential equation we get,

$$q(t) = WE_{\alpha,1}(-\theta t^{\alpha}) - at^{\alpha}E_{\alpha,\alpha+1}(-\theta t^{\alpha}) \qquad \text{for } 0 \le t \le t_1, \tag{5}$$

$$= W \left[ 1 - \frac{\theta t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{\theta^2 t^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \right] - a t^{\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} - \frac{\theta t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{\theta^2 t^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(3\alpha+1)} \right],$$
(6)

$$= W - (W\theta + a)\frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} + (W\theta^2 + a\theta)\frac{t^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)} - a\theta^2\frac{t^{3\alpha}}{\Gamma(3\alpha + 1)}.$$
 (7)

 $q(t_1) = 0$  gives

$$W = \frac{at_1^{\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} - \frac{\theta t_1^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{\theta^2 t_1^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(3\alpha+1)} \right]}{\left[ 1 - \frac{\theta t_1^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{\theta^2 t_1^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(3\alpha+1)} \right]},$$

$$= at_1^{\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} - \frac{\theta t_1^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{\theta^2 t_1^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(3\alpha+1)} \right] \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\theta t_1^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} - \frac{\theta^2 t_1^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \right) \right]^{-1},$$

$$= at_1^{\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} - \frac{\theta t_1^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{\theta^2 t_1^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(3\alpha+1)} \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{\theta t_1^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} - \frac{\theta^2 t_1^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \left( \frac{\theta t_1^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} - \frac{\theta^2 t_1^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \right)^2 \right],$$

$$= at_1^{\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \theta t_1^{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^2} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \right) + \theta^2 t_1^{2\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^3} - \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(3\alpha+1)} \right) \right].$$

Again solving the Eq. (4) with the initial condition  $q(t_1) = 0$  and using the Laplace transform method, we get

$$q(t) = -a \frac{(t-t_1)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \qquad \text{for } t_1 \le t \le T.$$
(8)

q(T) = -S gives

$$S = a \frac{(T - t_1)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}.$$

Therefore the economic order quantity (EOQ) is Q = W + S.

Thus,

$$Q = at_1^{\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \theta t_1^{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^2} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \right) + \theta^2 t_1^{2\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^3} - \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(3\alpha+1)} \right) \right] + a \frac{(T-t_1)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}.$$
(9)

## 4.1 Various relevant costs

Holding Cost: In an inventory costs related to unsold item are known as holding costs. A company's holding expenses consist of the cost of damaged or spoilt items as well as labour, storage space, and insurance.

Generalized total Holding cost is  $HC_{\alpha}(T, t_1)$  and is defined by

$$\begin{split} HC_{\alpha}(T,t_{1}) &= C_{1} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} q(t)dt, \\ &= C_{1} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \left[ W - (W\theta + a) \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} + (W\theta^{2} + a\theta) \frac{t^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)} - a\theta^{2} \frac{t^{3\alpha}}{\Gamma(3\alpha + 1)} \right] dt, \\ &= C_{1} \left[ Wt_{1} - (W\theta + a) \frac{t^{\alpha + 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 2)} + (W\theta^{2} + a\theta) \frac{t^{2\alpha + 1}}{\Gamma(2\alpha + 2)} - a\theta^{2} \frac{t^{3\alpha + 1}}{\Gamma(3\alpha + 2)} \right]. \end{split}$$

Purchasing cost: Purchasing cost refers to the complete cost of the good or service, including any applicable taxes, shipping charges, additional fees, and contingencies.

Total Purchasing cost per cycle is  $PC_{\alpha}(T, t_1)$  and is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} PC_{\alpha}(T,t_{1}) &= C_{2}W + C_{2}\int_{t_{1}}^{T}adt, \\ &= C_{2}W + C_{2}a(T-t_{1}). \end{aligned}$$

Deteriorating Cost: Deterioration is the inability to use an item for its intended use due to decay, damage, evaporation, spoiling, obsolescence, loss of utility, pilferage, or loss of marginal values of a commodity.

Total Deteriorating Cost per cycle is  $DC_{\alpha}(T, t_1)$  and is defined by

$$\begin{split} DC_{\alpha}(T,t_1) &= \theta C_3 \int_0^{t_1} q(t)dt, \\ &= \theta C_3 \Big[ Wt_1 - (W\theta + a) \frac{t^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)} + (W\theta^2 + a\theta) \frac{t^{2\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+2)} - a\theta^2 \frac{t^{3\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(3\alpha+2)} \Big] \end{split}$$

Shortage Cost: In inventory costs associated with shortages are those incurred when a company runs out of stock, such as: Time lost for the unavailability of raw materials. Cost of obsolescence, theft, and shrinking.

Total Shortage Cost per cycle is  $SC_{\alpha}(T, t_1)$  and is defined by

$$\begin{split} SC_{\alpha}(T,t_{1}) &= -C_{4} \int_{t_{1}}^{T} q(t) dt, \\ &= aC_{4} \frac{(T-t_{1})^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}. \end{split}$$

Salvage value: Salvage value is an asset's estimated book value after completion of depreciation.

Total Salvage value per cycle is  $SV_{\alpha}(T, t_1)$  and is defined by

$$\begin{split} SV_{\alpha}(T,t_1) &= \theta C_{\gamma} \int_0^{t_1} q(t) dt, \\ &= \theta C_{\gamma} \Big[ Wt_1 - (W\theta + a) \frac{t^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)} + (W\theta^2 + a\theta) \frac{t^{2\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+2)} - a\theta^2 \frac{t^{3\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(3\alpha+2)} \Big]. \end{split}$$

Total generalized Inventory Cost is

$$\begin{split} TC_{\alpha}(T,t_{1}) &= A + HC_{\alpha}(T,t_{1}) + PC_{\alpha}(T,t_{1}) + DC_{\alpha}(T,t_{1}) + SC_{\alpha}(T,t_{1}) - SV_{\alpha}(T,t_{1}), \\ &= A + a(C_{1} + \theta C_{3} - \theta C_{\gamma}) \Big( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \Big) t_{1}^{\alpha+2} \\ &+ a\theta(C_{1} + \theta C_{3} - \theta C_{\gamma}) \Big( \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha+2)} + \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{2}} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha+2)} \Big) t_{1}^{2\alpha+1} \\ &+ a\theta^{2}(C_{1} + \theta C_{3} - \theta C_{\gamma}) \Big( \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{3}} - \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(3\alpha+1)} \\ &- \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{2}\Gamma(\alpha+2)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(2\alpha+2)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(3\alpha+2)} \Big) t_{1}^{3\alpha+1} \\ &+ C_{2}a(T - t_{1}) + C_{4}a \frac{(T - t_{1})^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)} \\ &+ C_{2}at_{1}^{\alpha} \Big[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \theta t_{1}^{\alpha} \Big( \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{2}} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \Big) - \frac{\theta^{2}t_{1}^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \Big] \end{split}$$

Therefore the generalized Average Inventory Cost per unit time is denoted by  $TAC_{\alpha}(T, t_1)$  and is defined by

$$\begin{split} TAC_{a}(T,t_{1}) &= \frac{1}{T} [TC_{\alpha}(T,t_{1})] \\ &= \Big[ A + a(C_{1} + \theta C_{3} - \theta C_{\gamma}) \bigg( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)} \bigg) t_{1}^{\alpha+2} \\ &+ a\theta(C_{1} + \theta C_{3} - \theta C_{\gamma}) \bigg( \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha+2)} + \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{2}} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha+2)} \bigg) t_{1}^{2\alpha+1} + a\theta^{2}(C_{1} + \theta C_{3} - \theta C_{\gamma}) \bigg( \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{3}} - \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(3\alpha+1)} - \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{2}\Gamma(\alpha+2)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(2\alpha+2)} \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(3\alpha+2)} \bigg) t_{1}^{3\alpha+1} + C_{2}a(T-t_{1}) + C_{4}a \frac{(T-t_{1})^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)} + C_{2}at_{1}^{\alpha} \bigg[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \\ &+ \theta t_{1}^{\alpha} \bigg( \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{2}} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \bigg) - \frac{\theta^{2}t_{1}^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \bigg] \bigg] T^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, the memory dependent proposed fractional order inventory model written as following

$$\begin{cases} \text{Min} & \text{TAC}_{\alpha}(T, t_{1}) \\ &= \left[A + a(C_{1} + \theta C_{3} - \theta C_{\gamma}) \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right) t_{1}^{\alpha+2} \\ &+ a\theta(C_{1} + \theta C_{3} - \theta C_{\gamma}) \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha+2)} + \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{2}} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha+2)} \right) t_{1}^{2\alpha+1} + a\theta^{2}(C_{1} + \theta C_{3} - \theta C_{\gamma}) \left(\frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{3}} - \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(3\alpha+1)} - \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{2}\Gamma(\alpha+2)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(2\alpha+2)} \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(3\alpha+2)} \right) t_{1}^{3\alpha+1} + C_{2}a(T - t_{1}) + C_{4}a\frac{(T - t_{1})^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)} + C_{2}at_{1}^{\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \\ &+ \theta t_{1}^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{2}} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)}\right) - \frac{\theta^{2}t_{1}^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} \right] \right] T^{-1} \\ \text{Subject to } T \ge 0, \\ &t_{1} \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

(10)

| Table 1 Ta              | ble for optimal value                   |
|-------------------------|---|
| of starting             | shortage time, $t_1^*$ ,                |
| optimal or              | dering interval, $\dot{T}^*_{\alpha}$ , |
| and minim               | ized total average                      |
| cost, $TC_{\alpha}^*$ , | different values of                     |
| memory in               | $dex \ \alpha \ (0.4 \le \alpha \le 1)$ |

| α   | $t_1^*$ | $T^*_{lpha}$ | $TC^*_{\alpha}$ |
|-----|---------|--------------|-----------------|
| 0.4 | 7.4094  | 68.2842      | 21.5938         |
| 0.5 | 3.4939  | 36.7903      | 23.9188         |
| 0.6 | 1.2153  | 18.6357      | 26.2099         |
| 0.7 | 0.6440  | 13.6575      | 29.0938         |
| 0.8 | 0.5107  | 10.6984      | 31.0463         |
| 0.9 | 0.4753  | 8.5801       | 32.9927         |
| 1.0 | 0.4550  | 7.4001       | 34.4225         |



Fig. 2 Graph of memory index  $\alpha$  and minimized total average cost

In order to estimate various costs, starting shortage time, ordering interval for different values of memory parameters assigned in the proposed model, a numerical example with some experimental data of the parameters is now shown.

## 5 Numerical examples

Here we illustrate the inventory model considering a numerical example. The values of parameters with proper units given below:

$$A = 60; a = 12; \theta = 0.05;$$
  

$$C_1 = 0.5; C_2 = 1.5; C_3 = 3; C_4 = 2.5; C_{\gamma} = 2.8$$

Then using Matlab Programming we get the optimum value of starting shortage time  $t_1^*$ , optimum total cycle time  $T_{\alpha}^*$  and minimized total average cost  $TC_{\alpha}^*$  of the considered memory dependent inventory model and the outcomes are given in Table 1.

We notice from Table 1, and Fig. 2 total average cost achieves its minimum for  $\alpha = 0.4$  and attains its maximum for  $\alpha = 1$  i.e memory dependent inventory model has minimum total average cost in comparison to the independent of memory. The minimized total average cost becomes negative for  $\alpha < 0.4$ . This means that there is no effective business for  $\alpha < 0.4$ . So, we count memory effect from  $\alpha = 0.4$ . The

| <b>Table 2</b> Table for sensitivityanalysis of the parameters for $\alpha = 0.4$ | Parameter    | Parameter<br>change (%) | $t_1^*$ | $T^*_{\alpha}$ | $TAC^*_{\alpha}$ |
|---|--------------|-------------------------|---------|----------------|------------------|
|   | А            | +50                     | 7.3882  | 68.0793        | 22.0331          |
|   |              | +10                     | 7.3797  | 67.9428        | 21.6816          |
|   |              | -10                     | 7.4390  | 68.6256        | 21.5059          |
|   |              | -50                     | 7.4316  | 68.4891        | 21.1544          |
|   | а            | +50                     | 4.2974  | 40.1626        | 31.0266          |
|   |              | +10                     | 6.4502  | 62.4812        | 23.4803          |
|   |              | -10                     | 7.6485  | 74.0872        | 19.7072          |
|   |              | -50                     | 10.5213 | 97.5213        | 12.1610          |
|   | $\theta$     | +50                     | 7.1903  | 69.7853        | 21.1327          |
|   |              | +10                     | 7.0776  | 68.6257        | 21.5089          |
|   |              | -10                     | 7.0212  | 67.9428        | 21.6749          |
|   |              | -50                     | 6.9084  | 66.7720        | 21.9628          |
|   | $C_1$        | +50                     | 7.0705  | 68.4893        | 21.5203          |
|   |              | +10                     | 7.0536  | 68.3320        | 21.5791          |
|   |              | -10                     | 7.0451  | 68.2364        | 21.6085          |
|   |              | -50                     | 7.0282  | 68.0795        | 21.6672          |
|   | $C_2$        | +50                     | 4.3706  | 40.9706        | 29.9543          |
|   |              | +10                     | 6.4854  | 62.1387        | 23.2659          |
|   |              | -10                     | 7.6133  | 74.4321        | 19.9217          |
|   |              | -50                     | 9.7281  | 95.5979        | 13.2332          |
|   | $C_3$        | +50                     | 7.0564  | 68.3525        | 21.5717          |
|   |              | +10                     | 7.0508  | 68.2979        | 21.5894          |
|   |              | -10                     | 7.0480  | 68.2706        | 21.5982          |
|   |              | -50                     | 7.0423  | 68.2160        | 21.6158          |
|   | $C_4$        | +50                     | 6.3444  | 61.2511        | 23.6863          |
|   |              | +10                     | 6.9154  | 66.9868        | 22.0123          |
|   |              | -10                     | 7.1833  | 69.5816        | 21.1753          |
|   |              | -50                     | 7.7543  | 75.3212        | 19.5013          |
|   | $C_{\gamma}$ | +50                     | 5.4280  | 53.2616        | 26.5379          |
|   | -            | +10                     | 6.7674  | 65.5529        | 22.4523          |
|   |              | -10                     | 7.3314  | 71.0157        | 20.7204          |
|   |              | -50                     | 8.6707  | 83.3067        | 16.8745          |

system-imposed memory steadily improves the optimal ordering interval, which achieves its maximum value at its robust memory level. The company policy will therefore be stable and continue to be extensive for deep memory level, which is extremely thorough. This might occur as a result of their previous experience's broad prudence. As a result, the memory dependent generalized inventory model has a broad vision of advantage that will be taken into account right away in light of a consistent company policy. Additionally, long memory affected systems have

| Table for sensitivity of the parameters for | Parameter    | Parameter<br>change (%) | $t_1^*$ | $T^*_{\alpha}$ | $TAC^*_{\alpha}$ |
|---|--------------|-------------------------|---------|----------------|------------------|
|   | А            | +50                     | 0.4249  | 7.6706         | 36.4892          |
|   |              | +10                     | 0.4658  | 8.4085         | 33.6920          |
|   |              | -10                     | 0.4848  | 8.7517         | 32.2934          |
|   |              | -50                     | 0.5257  | 9.4860         | 29.4963          |
|   | а            | +50                     | 0.3422  | 6.2206         | 42.3309          |
|   |              | +10                     | 0.4482  | 8.0825         | 34.8604          |
|   |              | -10                     | 0.5024  | 9.0777         | 31.1251          |
|   |              | -50                     | 0.6084  | 10.9396        | 23.6545          |
|   | $\theta$     | +50                     | 0.4749  | 8.5724         | 33.0223          |
|   |              | +10                     | 0.4752  | 8.5784         | 32.9985          |
|   |              | -10                     | 0.4754  | 8.5818         | 32.9870          |
|   |              | -50                     | 0.4757  | 8.5878         | 32.9649          |
|   | $C_1$        | +50                     | 0.4748  | 8.5715         | 33.0405          |
|   |              | +10                     | 0.4751  | 8.5775         | 33.0023          |
|   |              | -10                     | 0.4754  | 8.5827         | 32.9832          |
|   |              | -50                     | 0.4758  | 8.5887         | 32.9649          |
|   | $C_2$        | +50                     | 0.3470  | 6.2635         | 42.0593          |
|   |              | +10                     | 0.4487  | 8.1082         | 34.8060          |
|   |              | -10                     | 0.5019  | 9.0520         | 31.1794          |
|   |              | -50                     | 0.6036  | 10.8967        | 23.9262          |
|   | $C_3$        | +50                     | 0.4751  | 8.5767         | 33.0071          |
|   |              | +10                     | 0.4752  | 8.5801         | 32.9956          |
|   |              | -10                     | 0.4754  | 8.5802         | 32.9899          |
|   |              | -50                     | 0.4755  | 8.5835         | 32.9784          |
|   | $C_4$        | +50                     | 0.4183  | 7.5505         | 36.8640          |
|   |              | +10                     | 0.4639  | 8.3742         | 33.7670          |
|   |              | -10                     | 0.4867  | 8.7860         | 32.2185          |
|   |              | -50                     | 0.5323  | 9.6097         | 29.1215          |
|   | $C_{\gamma}$ | +50                     | 0.4040  | 7.2931         | 37.9416          |
|   |              | +10                     | 0.4582  | 8.2712         | 34.1803          |
|   |              | -10                     | 0.4924  | 8.8889         | 31.8051          |
|   |              | -50                     | 0.5466  | 9.8671         | 28.0438          |

Table 3 analysis  $\alpha = 0.9$ 

a wider optimal ordering interval than memory less systems and have too little total average cost that is minimized when compared to memory less systems.

# 5.1 Sensitivity analysis

A sensitivity analysis has been carried out to demonstrate the implications of change in system parameters A, a,  $\theta$ ,  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ ,  $C_4$ ,  $C_\gamma$  on the optimal ordering interval

| <b>Table 4</b> Table for the impact<br>of changing parameters on the<br>minimized total average cost at<br>$\alpha = 0.4$ | Parameter    | Parameter change (%) | Change of<br>minimized<br>total aver-<br>age cost (%) |
|---|--------------|----------------------|---|
|   | A            | -50 to -10           | 1.662   |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 0.817   |
|   |              | +10  to  +50         | 1.621   |
|   | а            | -50 to $-10$         | 62.052  |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 19.146  |
|   |              | +10  to  +50         | 32.139  |
|   | θ            | -50 to -10           | 1.310   |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 0.766   |
|   |              | +10  to  +50         | 1.749   |
|   | $C_1$        | -50 to $-10$         | 0.270   |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 0.136   |
|   |              | +10 to +50           | 0.272   |
|   | $C_2$        | -50 to $-10$         | 50.543  |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 16.787  |
|   |              | +10 to +50           | 28.748  |
|   | $C_3$        | -50 to -10           | 0.081   |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 0.041   |
|   |              | +10 to +50           | 0.082   |
|   | $C_4$        | -50 to $-10$         | 8.584   |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 3.953   |
|   |              | +10 to +50           | 7.605   |
|   | $C_{\gamma}$ | -50 to $-10$         | 22.791  |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 8.358   |
|   |              | +10  to  +50         | 18.197  |

**OPSEARCH** 

 $T_{\alpha}^{*}$ , optimal starting shortage time  $t_{\alpha}^{*}$ , and minimized total average cost  $TAC_{\alpha}^{*}$ . The parameters are increased by 10%, 50% also decreased by 10%, 50%, separating each parameter and leaving the others constant for various memory indexes  $\alpha = 0.4$  and  $\alpha = 0.9.$ 

From Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5, the conclusions about sensitivity analysis has been derived as follows:

- For the proposed inventory model the parameters  $a, C_2$  are most sensitive. (i)
- (ii) Also the parameters  $a, C_2, C_\gamma$  for weak as well as strong memory are most sensitive.
- (iii) For the proposed inventory model with memory the parameters  $\theta$ ,  $C_1$ ,  $C_3$  for weak as well as strong memory are not sensitive
- (iv) The inventory model heavily relies on the constant demand a. A drop in a causes a decrease in the total minimized cost, whereas a rise in a causes an increase in the minimized total average cost.

| <b>Table 5</b> Table for the impact<br>of changing parameters on the<br>minimized total average cost at<br>$\alpha = 0.9$ | Parameter    | Parameter change (%) | Change of<br>minimized<br>total average<br>cost (%) |
|---|--------------|----------------------|---|
|   | А            | -50 to -10           | 9.483   |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 4.331   |
|   |              | +10 to +50           | 8.302   |
|   | а            | -50 to $-10$         | 31.582  |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 12.001  |
|   |              | +10 to +50           | 21.430  |
|   | heta         | -50 to $-10$         | 0.067   |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 0.035   |
|   |              | +10 to +50           | 0.072   |
|   | $C_1$        | -50 to -10           | 0.116   |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 0.058   |
|   |              | +10  to  +50         | 0.116   |
|   | $C_2$        | -50 to -10           | 30.315  |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 11.631  |
|   |              | +10 to +50           | 20.839  |
|   | $C_3$        | -50 to $-10$         | 0.035   |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 0.017   |
|   |              | +10  to  +50         | 0.035   |
|   | $C_4$        | -50 to -10           | 10.635  |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 4.806   |
|   |              | +10 to +50           | 9.172   |
|   | $C_{\gamma}$ | -50 to -10           | 13.412  |
|   |              | -10 to $+10$         | 7.468   |
|   |              | +10  to  +50         | 11.004  |
|   |              |                      |   |

(v) For the proposed inventory model the parameters A,  $C_4$  are mild sensitive.

# 6 Conclusions

The relevance of memory effects in inventory related problem has been studied here. It is noted that the foremost objective of any inventory related problem is to maximize the profit of some business policy by minimizing the entire total cost. It is observed from our study that memory effect (past experience) has fundamental role in inventory related problem and which helps policy maker to chose appropriate decision to achieve the sustainable business policy. There is no doubt that an inventory related problem with total average cost, the optimal ordering interval and starting shortage time gives better outcome for the consideration of memory effect. We have incorporated the concept of memory in the concerned inventory model with the help of fractional calculus. The fractional order of the differentiation is the indicator of the memory index in the whole model. It is observed that the memory affects effectively on total average cost and long memory effect will give powerful result in comparison of short memory or memoryless system. Here we also calculated the starting shortage time and ordering interval for which the total average cost is minimum. A supporting numerical example is provided here to demonstrate our notion from a practical standpoint. The results show that the memory dependent inventory model has more significant results than our traditional classical inventory model.

Here, the input data have been taken into account to hypothetically demonstrate the significance of the suggested model. The findings are easily applicable to real-world situations, but further experimental research is needed to show how to approach this issue effectively. Therefore, it cannot always be guaranteed that the suggested model will provide a result that is practical for any given set of presented data during any experimental research. Instead, it can be guaranteed that using the data with this kind of suggested numerical value, the current model will undoubtedly produce the best results. Practically speaking, a number of problems that arise in actual life situations could have an impact on how radical the presented data is. In the current study, it is primarily recommended to investigate optimal total costs, optimal ordering intervals, optimal starting shortage time in the presence of various memory indices. A decision-maker can gain some knowledge or ideas about the properties of parameters from the entire debate and results.

Basically memory-dependent inventory models can use the historical data to optimize order quantities and reorder points. By analyzing past demand patterns, these models can better predict future demand and adjust inventory level accordingly. The suggested inventory model gives an inventory manager the right decision-support for choosing the most cost-effective quantities of replenishment orders for deteriorating items while taking customer purchasing behavior into account. Results from our study can be used to manage inventories in situations that are comparable. For instance, decisions on inventory replenishment for circumstances where a production company produces a perishable good for sale in its own retail outlets can also be addressed. Any on-line retailers and e-marketing companies promoting a product will inevitably take the customer memory effect into serious consideration; in this regard, present model will be quite useful. When a product is not in stock in an online store, the consumer places an order, and the product is subsequently stocked and shipped to the client and also for the items where expiration dates are critical, deterioration costs, holding costs, and waste can all be reduced. Comparing the two inventory models from a practical standpoint, the fractional order inventory model is more helpful in the actual system.

Future study will take into account the wide range of works related to the memory dependent inventory model in numerous aspects. More research is necessary to examine the properties of the suggested memory-dependent model while imposing various practical factors, such as reliability, promotional effort, trade credit policy, and others. In various uncertain environments (such as fuzzy, neutrosophic, etc.), other approaches to this memory-dependent inventory model can be devised to express the uncertainty for the purpose of a more realistic sense. **Acknowledgements** We are thankful to the Editor and Reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions, which helps a lot for significant improvement of our work.

Author Contributions DKJ has conducted the study with potential contribution to present the analytical and numerical result. AKD has significant contribution to interpret the concept of memory which has been utilized in the form of fractional differential calculus, calculate the analytical outcomes and analyze the results. The entire process has been significantly monitored and supervised by SI. All authors participated in writing the manuscript.

**Funding** The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

Availability of data and materials Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

#### Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable for this article.

Consent for publication Not applicable for this article.

## References

- 1. Chang, H.J., Dye, C.Y.: An EOQ model for deteriorating items with time varying demand and partial backlogging. J. Oper. Res. Soc. **50**, 1176–1182 (1999)
- Chang, H.J., Lin, W.F.: A partial backlogging inventory model for non-instantaneous deteriorating items with stock-dependent consumption rate under inflation. Yugosl. J. Oper. Res. 20, 35–54 (2010)
- Das, A.K., Roy, T.K.: Role of fractional calculus to the generalized inventory model. J. Global Res. Comput. Sci. 5, 307–316 (2014)
- Das, A.K., Roy, T.K.: Fractional order EOQ model with linear trend of time-dependent demand. Int. J. Intell. Syst. Appl. 03, 44–53 (2015)
- Das, A.K., Roy, T.K.: Generalized fractional order EOQ model when demand is stock dependent. Int. J. Math. Arch. 8, 1–14 (2017)
- Das, A.K., Roy, T.K.: An imprecise EOQ model for non-instantaneous deteriorating item with imprecise inventory parameters using interval number. Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math. 4, 1–16 (2018)
- Das, A.K., Roy, T.K., Jana, D.K.: Fractional order generalized EOQ model with demand-dependent unit purchasing cost under space constraints. In: Chapter 1, Recent Advances in Intelligent Information Systems and Applied Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2019)
- 8. Diethelm, K.: The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations. Springer, Berlin (2010)
- 9. Dye, C.Y., Chang, H.J., Teng, J.T.: A deteriorating inventory model with time-varying demand and shortage-dependent partial backlogging. Eur. J. Oper. Res. **172**, 417–429 (2006)
- Goswami, A., Chaudhuri, K.S.: An EOQ model for deteriorating items with a linear trend in demand. J. Oper. Res. Soc. 42, 1105–1110 (1991)
- Hariga, M.: Optimal EOQ models for deteriorating items with time varying demand. J. Oper. Res. Soc. 47, 1228–1246 (1996)
- 12. Hariga, M.: An EOQ model for deteriorating items with shortages and time-varying demand. J. Oper. Res. Soc. 46, 398–404 (1995)
- Jana, D.K., Das, A.K.: A memory dependent partial backlogging inventory model for non instantaneous deteriorating item with stock dependent demand. Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math. (2021). https:// doi.org/10.1007/s40819-021-01136-w

- 14. Kilbas, A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J.: Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006)
- Kumar, A., Santra, P.K., Mahapatra, G.S.: Fractional order inventory system for time-dependent demand influenced by reliability and memory effect of promotional efforts. Comput. Ind. Eng. 179, 109191 (2023)
- Lin, C., Tan, B., Lee, W.C.: An EOQ model for deteriorating items with time-varying demand and shortages. Int. J. Syst. Sci. 31, 391–400 (2000)
- 17. Miller, K.S., Ross, B.: An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations. Wiley, New York (1993)
- 18. Mishra, P., Shah, N.H.: Inventory management of time dependent deteriorating items with salvage value. Appl. Math. Sci. 2, 793–798 (2008)
- 19. Pakhira, R., Ghosh, U., Sarkar, S.: Study of memory effect in an inventory model for deteriorating items with partial back logging. Comput. Ind. Eng. **148**, 106705 (2020)
- 20. Pakhira, R., Ghosh, U., Sarkar, S.: Study of memory effects in an inventory model using fractional calculus. Appl. Math. Sci. 12, 797–824 (2018)
- Pakhira, R., Ghosh, U., Sarkar, S.: Study of memory effect in an economic order quantity model with quadratic type demand rate. Comput. Methods Sci. Technol. 25, 71–90 (2019)
- 22. Pareek, S., Mishra, V.K., Rani, S.: An inventory model for time dependent deteriorating item with salvage value and shortages. Math. Today **25**, 31–39 (2009)
- 23. Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations, Mathematics in Science and Engineering. Academic Press, San Diego (1999)
- 24. Podlubny, I.: Geometric and physical interpretation of fractional integral and fractional differentiation. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **5**, 367–386 (2002)
- Rahaman, M., Abdulaal, Reda M. S., Bafail, Omer A., Das, M., Alam, S., Mondal, S.P.: An insight into the impacts of memory, selling price and displayed stock on a Retailer's decision in an inventory management problem. Fract. Fract. 6(9), 531 (2022)
- Roy, A.: An inventory model for deteriorating items with price dependent demand and time varying holding cost. Adv. Model. Optim. 10, 25–37 (2008)
- 27. Singh, T., Pattnayak, H.: An EOQ model for deteriorating items with linear demand, variable deterioration and partial backlogging. J. Serv. Sci. Manag. 6, 186–190 (2013)
- Tarasov, V.E., Tarasova, V.V.: Long and short memory in economics: fractional-order difference and differentiation. Int. J. Manag. Soc. Sci. 5, 327–334 (2016)
- 29. Tarasova, V.V., Tarasov, V.E.: Logistic map with memory from economic model. Chaos Solitons Fract. **95**, 84–91 (2017)
- Tarasova, V.V., Tarasov, V.E.: Economic model of natural growth with dynamic memory. Actual Probl. Humanit. Nat. Sci. 4, 51–58 (2017)
- 31. Tarasov, V.E., Tarasova, V.V.: Macroeconomic models with long dynamic memory: fractional calculus approach. Appl. Math. Comput. **338**, 466–486 (2018)
- 32. Tarasova, V.V., Tarasov, V.E.: Dynamic intersectoral models with power-law memory. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 54, 100–117 (2018)
- Wu, K.S., Ouyang, L.Y., Yang, C.T.: An optimal replenishment policy for non-instantaneous deteriorating items with stock-dependent demand and partial backlogging. Int. J. Prod. Econ. 101, 369– 384 (2006)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.